


Harmful Tax Practices – Peer Review Results

INCLUSIVE FRAMEWORK ON BEPS: ACTION 5

Update (as of August 2021)

Original report available at:

<http://www.oecd.org/ctp/harmful-tax-practices-2018-progress-report-on-preferential-regimes-9789264311480-en.htm>



Introduction

At its April 2021 meeting, the FHTP updated conclusions for 18 preferential tax regimes. The Inclusive Framework on BEPS approved these results on 7 June 2021. A summary of the April 2021 FHTP meeting is provided below as well as a consolidated update of the regimes reported in *Harmful Tax Practices – 2018 Progress Report on Preferential Regimes*.

New regime results – FHTP April 2021 meeting

1. The below table presents the new results on preferential regimes from the FHTP meeting in April 2021.

	Jurisdiction	Regime	Status	Comments
1.	Armenia	Free economic zones	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
2.	Armenia	Information technology projects	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
3.	Australia	Offshore banking unit	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
4.	Dominican Republic	Border development	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
5.	Dominican Republic	Logistics centres	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
6.	Eswatini	Special economic zones	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
7.	Gabon	Special economic zones	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
8.	Georgia	International company	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
9.	Honduras	Free zones (ZOLI)	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
10.	Honduras	Employment and economic development zones (ZEDE)	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
11.	Hong Kong (China)	Profits tax concession for specified insurers and licensed insurance broker companies	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
12.	Jordan	Aqaba special economic zone	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
13.	Lithuania	Large scale investment projects	Under review	Regime under review by

14.	Pakistan	Export regime on IT	Under review	FHTP. Regime under review by FHTP.
15.	Philippines	Regional operating headquarters regime	Potentially harmful but not actually harmful From 1 January 2022: Abolished	Ring-fencing and substantial activities factors implicated, but no harmful economic effects in practice.
16.	Sint Maarten	Tax exempt company	In the process of being eliminated	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
17.	Trinidad & Tobago	Free trade zones	Harmful	Ring-fencing and exchange of information factors implicated.
18.	United States	Foreign-derived intangible income (FDII)	In the process of being eliminated	The United States has committed to abolish this regime.

Consolidated results of regime reviews since 2015

The tables below presents the consolidated results of all regimes reviewed by the FHTP since the BEPS Action 5 report.

Regimes listed in the 2015 BEPS Action 5 report

2. These tables present an update on the status of regimes listed in the 2015 BEPS Action 5 Report, as at 7 June 2021.

IP regimes

	Jurisdiction	Regime	Status	Comments
1.	Belgium	Deduction for innovation income	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
2.	China (People's Republic of)	Reduced rate for high & new tech enterprises	Not harmful ¹	No harmful features.
3.	Colombia	Software regime	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
4.	France	Reduced corporation tax rate on IP income ²	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. No grandfathering provided.
5.	Hungary	IP regime for royalties and capital gains	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
6.	Israel	Amended preferred enterprise regime	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
7.	Italy	Taxation of income from intangible assets	Not harmful (amended) except for the extension to new entrants for trademark ³ between 1 July 2016 and 31 December 2016, which is harmful	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines, except for extension as noted.
8.	Luxembourg	Partial exemption for income/gains derived from certain IP rights	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.

9.	Netherlands	Innovation box	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
10.	Portugal	Partial exemption for income from certain intangible property	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
11.	Spain	Partial exemption for income from certain intangible assets (Federal regime)	Not harmful (amended) ⁴	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
12.	Spain	Partial exemption for income from certain intangible assets (Basque country)	Not harmful (amended) ⁵	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
13.	Spain	Partial exemption for income from certain intangible assets (Navarra)	Not harmful (amended) ⁶	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
14.	Switzerland - Canton of Nidwalden	Licence box	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
15.	Turkey	Technology development zones regime	Not harmful (amended) except for the extension to new entrants between 1 July 2016 and 19 October 2017, which is harmful	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines, except for extension as noted.
16.	United Kingdom	Patent box	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.

Note: See table 6.1 of the 2015 BEPS Action 5 Report.

1. While the regime did not technically comply with the nexus approach, it was considered functionally equivalent and therefore evaluated as not harmful, given its distinct features and safeguards and the willingness of China to provide additional information.
2. Formerly known as "Reduced rate for long term capital gains and profits from the licensing of IP rights".
3. The Italian IP regime did not and does not include in the eligible assets any marketing related assets other than trademarks.
4. Spain's partial exemption for income from certain intangible assets was inconsistent with the nexus approach for IP assets acquired from related parties for the period from 1 January 2017 to 31 December 2017 and for new taxpayers entering the regime in the period from 1 July 2016 to 31 December 2017.
5. See previous table note.
6. See previous table note.

Non-IP regimes

	Jurisdiction	Regime	Status	Comments
1.	Argentina	Promotional regime for software industry	Not harmful	No harmful features.
2.	Australia	Conduit foreign income	Not harmful	No harmful features.
3.	Brazil	PADIS – Semiconductors industry	Not harmful	No harmful features.
4.	Canada	Life insurance business	Potentially harmful but not actually harmful	Ring-fencing implicated, but no harmful economic effects in practice. Regime is subject to annual monitoring.
5.	China (People's)	Reduced rate for advanced technology services enterprises	Not harmful	No harmful features.

	Republic of)			
6.	Colombia	Foreign portfolio investment	Not harmful ¹	No harmful features.
7.	Greece	Offshore engineering and construction	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. No grandfathering provided
8.	India	Deductions in respect of certain incomes of offshore banking units and international financial services centre	Not harmful	No harmful features.
9.	India	Special provisions in respect of newly established units in special economic zones	Not harmful	No harmful features.
10.	India	Special provisions relating to income of shipping companies – tonnage tax scheme	Not harmful	No harmful features.
11.	India	Taxation of profit and gains of life insurance business	Not harmful	No harmful features.
12.	Indonesia	Investment allowance regime	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
13.	Indonesia	Public / listed company regime	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
14.	Indonesia	Special economic zone regime	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
15.	Indonesia	Tax holiday regime	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
16.	Japan	Measures for the promotion of research and development	Not harmful ²	No harmful features.
17.	Japan	Special zones for international competitiveness development	Not harmful ³	No harmful features.
18.	Latvia	Shipping taxation regime	Not harmful	No harmful features.
19.	Latvia	Special economic zones	Disadvantaged areas regime	Subject to monitoring to ensure continued low risk of BEPS.
20.	Luxembourg	Investment company in risk capital (Société d'investissement en capital à risque)	Not harmful ⁴	No harmful features.
21.	Luxembourg	Private asset management company (Société de gestion de patrimoine familial)	Not harmful ⁵	No harmful features.
22.	South Africa	Exemption of income in respect of ships used in international shipping	Not harmful	No harmful features.
23.	South Africa	Headquarter company	Potentially harmful but not actually harmful	Ring-fencing implicated, but no harmful economic effects in practice. Regime is subject to annual monitoring.
24.	Switzerland – cantonal level	Auxiliary company regime (previously referred to as domiciliary company regime)	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
25.	Switzerland – cantonal level	Holding company regime	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
26.	Switzerland – cantonal level	Mixed company regime	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
27.	Switzerland – federal level	Commissionaire ruling regime	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
28.	Switzerland – federal level	Newly established or re-designed enterprises	Disadvantaged areas regime	Subject to monitoring to ensure continued low risk of BEPS.
29.	Turkey	Shipping regime	Not harmful	No harmful features.

Note: See table 6.2 of the 2015 BEPS Action 5 Report.

1. This conclusion was reached by the FHTP without reaching any conclusion that Colombia's regime was within the scope of the work of the FHTP.

2. This regime was considered prior to the approval of the BEPS Action Plan.

3. See previous table note.

4. See previous table note.

5. See previous table note.

Regimes reviewed after formation of the Inclusive Framework on BEPS

3. The following tables present the results of the review of preferential regimes that have been reviewed since October 2015, as at 7 June 2021. The results are presented according to the categories of regime.

IP regimes

	Jurisdiction	Regime	Status	Comments
1.	Andorra	Special regime for exploitation of certain intangibles ¹	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
2.	Curaçao	Innovation box	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
3.	Greece	Tax patent incentives	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
4.	India	Tax on income from patent	Not harmful	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place.
5.	Ireland	Knowledge development box	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
6.	Israel	Preferred technological enterprise regime	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
7.	Korea	Special taxation for transfer, acquisition, etc. of technology	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. No grandfathering provided.
8.	Liechtenstein	IP box	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
9.	Lithuania	IP regime	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
10.	Luxembourg	IP regime	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
11.	Malta	Patent box	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
12.	Malta	Patent box deduction rules	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
13.	Mauritius	Innovation box	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. No grandfathering provided.
14.	Panama	City of knowledge technical zone	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. No grandfathering provided.
15.	Panama	General IP regime	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.

16.	Poland	IP box	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
17.	San Marino	IP regime provided by law no. 102/2004	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
18.	San Marino	IP regime	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
19.	Singapore	IP development incentive	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
20.	Slovak Republic	Patent box	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
21.	Switzerland – cantonal level	Patent box	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards
22.	Turkey	5/B regime	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
23.	Viet Nam	IP benefits (transfer of technology)	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
IP regimes that are also reviewed as non-IP regimes²				
24.	Armenia	Free economic zones	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
25.	Armenia	Information technology projects	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
26.	Aruba	Exempt company	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
27.	Aruba	Free zone	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
28.	Barbados	International business companies	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
29.	Barbados	International societies with restricted liability	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
30.	Belize	International business companies	Abolished ³	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
31.	Botswana	International financial services company	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
32.	Brunei Darussalam	Investment incentives regime ⁴	Potentially harmful but not actually harmful	Lack of substantial activities requirements and transparency factor implicated, but no harmful economic effects in practice. Regime is subject to annual monitoring.
33.	Cook Islands	International companies	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
34.	Curaçao	Curaçao investment company ⁵	Not harmful (amended) ⁶	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. No grandfathering provided.
35.	Curaçao	Export facility	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
36.	Dominica	International business companies	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
37.	Eswatini	Special economic zones	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
38.	Honduras	Free zones (ZOLI)	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
39.	Honduras	Employment and economic development zones (ZEDE)	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.

40.	Jordan	Aqaba special economic zone	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
41.	Jordan	Development zone	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. No grandfathering provided.
42.	Kazakhstan	Special economic zones	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
43.	Kenya	Special economic zone	Not operational	Regime not operational.
44.	Lithuania	Free economic zone taxation regime	Disadvantaged areas regime	Subject to monitoring to ensure continued low risk of BEPS.
45.	Macau (China)	Macau offshore institution	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
46.	Malaysia	Biotechnology industry	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
47.	Malaysia	MSC Malaysia	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
48.	Malaysia	Pioneer status – High technology	Out of scope (amended)	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
49.	Malaysia	Principal hub	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
50.	Mauritius	Global business license 1	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
51.	Mauritius	Global business license 2	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
52.	Mongolia	Free trade zones	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
53.	Paraguay	Investment of capital from abroad	Not operational	Regime not operational.
54.	Qatar	Free zone areas	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
55.	Qatar	Free zone at science & technology park	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
56.	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Companies act	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
57.	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Nevis business corporation	Abolished ⁷	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
58.	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Nevis LLC	Abolished ⁸	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
59.	Saint Lucia	International business company	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
60.	Saint Lucia	International partnership	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
61.	Saint Lucia	International trust	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
62.	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	International business companies	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
63.	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	International trusts	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
64.	San Marino	New companies regime provided by art. 73, law no. 166/2013	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
65.	San Marino	Regime for high-tech start-up companies under law no. 71/2013 and delegated decree no.	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.

		116/2014		
66.	Seychelles	Companies special license	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
67.	Seychelles	International business companies	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
68.	Seychelles	International trade zone	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
69.	Singapore	Development and expansion incentive - services	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
70.	Singapore	Pioneer service company	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
71.	Thailand	International business centre	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
72.	Thailand	International headquarters and treasury centre	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
73.	Thailand	Regional operating headquarters 1	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
74.	Thailand	Regional operating headquarters 2	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
75.	United States	Foreign-derived intangible income (FDII)	In the process of being eliminated	The United States has committed to abolish this regime.
76.	Uruguay	Benefits under law 16.906 for biotechnology	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
77.	Uruguay	Benefits under lit S art. 52 for biotechnology and for software	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
78.	Uruguay	Free zones	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (nexus approach) in place. No grandfathering provided.
79.	Viet Nam	Export processing zone	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.

- Formerly known as "Companies involved in the international exploitation of intangible assets".
- Some preferential regimes provides for benefits to both income from IP and other non-IP geographically mobile activities. These "dual category" regimes are reviewed as both an IP regime and a non-IP regime and therefore have to comply with both substantial activities requirements and two separate conclusions are applicable to the regime.
- The regime is abolished as of 1 January 2020. For the year 2019, international business companies were not subject to substantial activities requirements. In addition, new entrants in the regime and new assets/activities of grandfathered taxpayers could wrongfully benefit from grandfathering during the period 16 October 2017 – 31 December 2019. This issue is now historical only.
- Formerly known as "Pioneer services companies".
- Formerly known as "Tax exempt entity".
- In the period September 2019 – July 2020, a decree on substantial activities was in effect, but was withdrawn by Curaçao as it did not seem to meet the FHTP's requirements.
- The preferential tax treatment for this regime has been abolished; however, Nevis business corporations can still be registered in Saint Kitts and Nevis with no tax preference.
- The preferential tax treatment for this regime has been abolished; however, Nevis Limited Liability Companies can still be registered in Saint Kitts and Nevis with no tax preference.

Headquarters regimes

	Jurisdiction	Regime	Status	Comments
1.	Barbados	International business companies ¹	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
2.	Chile	Business platform regime	Potentially harmful but not actually harmful From 1 January	Ring-fencing factor implicated, but no harmful economic effects in practice. Regime is subject to annual

			2022: Abolished ²	monitoring.
3.	Kenya	Special economic zone ³	Not operational	Regime not operational.
4.	Malaysia	Principal hub ⁴	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. No grandfathering provided.
5.	Mauritius	Global business license 1	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
6.	Mauritius	Global business license 2	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
7.	Mauritius	Global headquarters administration regime	Not harmful	No harmful features.
8.	Panama	Multinational headquarters	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
9.	Philippines	Regional operating headquarters	Potentially harmful but not actually harmful From 1 January 2022: Abolished	Ring-fencing and substantial activities factors implicated, but no harmful economic effects in practice.
10.	Philippines	Regional or area headquarters	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
11.	Seychelles	Companies special license ⁵	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
12.	Singapore	Development and expansion incentive – services	Not harmful	No harmful features.
13.	Singapore	Pioneer service company	Not harmful	No harmful features.
14.	Thailand	International business centre	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
15.	Thailand	International headquarters and treasury centre	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
16.	Thailand	Regional operating headquarters 1	Abolished	Grandfathering provided, see table note.
17.	Thailand	Regional operating headquarters 2	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
18.	Turkey	Regional headquarters / regional management centre	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.

1. Also reviewed as a financing and leasing regime.

2. In accordance with Law No. 21,047 no new taxpayers will benefit from this regime as from 23 November 2017. With regard to existing business platform companies, the law provides for a grandfathering period which expires by 31 December 2021. Therefore, this regime will be considered completely abolished by 1 January 2022.

3. Also reviewed as a distribution and service centre regime.

4. Also reviewed as a financing and leasing regime.

5. Also reviewed as a financing and leasing regime.

Financing and leasing regimes

	Jurisdiction	Regime	Status	Comments
1.	Andorra	Intercompany and financing regime	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
2.	Antigua and Barbuda	International business corporations	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
3.	Aruba	Exempt company	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance

				with FHTP timelines.
4.	Barbados	International business companies ¹	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
5.	Barbados	International financial services	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
6.	Barbados	International trusts ²	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
7.	Belize	International business companies	Abolished ³	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
8.	Botswana	International financial services company	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. No grandfathering provided.
9.	Cabo Verde	International financial institution	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
10.	Curaçao	Curaçao investment company ⁴	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. No grandfathering provided.
11.	Dominica	International business companies	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
12.	Georgia	International financial company	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
13.	Hong Kong (China)	Profits tax concession for aircraft lessors and aircraft leasing managers	Not harmful	No harmful features.
14.	Hong Kong (China)	Profits tax concession for corporate treasury centres	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. No grandfathering provided.
15.	Hong Kong (China)	Profits tax concession for ship lessors and ship leasing managers	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
16.	Kazakhstan	Astana international financial centre	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
17.	Malaysia	Labuan leasing	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. No grandfathering provided.
18.	Malaysia	Principal hub ⁵	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. No grandfathering provided.
19.	Malaysia	Treasury management centre	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
20.	Mauritius	Global treasury activities	Not harmful	No harmful features.
21.	Montserrat	International business companies	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
22.	Morocco	Casablanca finance city	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
23.	Qatar	Qatar financial centre (QFC)	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
24.	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Companies act	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
25.	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Nevis business corporation	Abolished ⁶	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
26.	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Nevis LLC	Abolished ⁷	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
27.	Saint Lucia	International business company	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
28.	Saint Lucia	International partnership	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
29.	Saint Lucia	International trusts ⁸	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
30.	Saint Vincent and the	International business companies	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.

	Grenadines			
31.	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	International trusts ⁹	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
32.	San Marino	Financing regime provided by law no. 102/2004	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
33.	Seychelles	Companies special license ¹⁰	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
34.	Seychelles	International business companies	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
35.	Singapore	Aircraft leasing scheme	Not harmful	No harmful features.
36.	Singapore	Finance and treasury centre	Not harmful	No harmful features.
37.	Sint Maarten	Tax exempt company	In the process of being eliminated	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.

1. Also reviewed as a headquarters regime.

2. Also reviewed as a holding company regime.

3. The regime is abolished as of 1 January 2020. For the year 2019, international business companies were not subject to substantial activities requirements. In addition, new entrants in the regime and new assets/activities of grandfathered taxpayers could wrongfully benefit from grandfathering during the period 16 October 2017 – 31 December 2019. This issue is now historical only.

4. Formerly known as "Tax exempt entity".

5. Also reviewed as a headquarters regime.

6. The preferential tax treatment for this regime has been abolished; however, Nevis business corporations can still be registered in Saint Kitts and Nevis with no tax preference.

7. The preferential tax treatment for this regime has been abolished; however, Nevis Limited Liability Companies can still be registered in Saint Kitts and Nevis with no tax preference.

8. Also reviewed as a holding company regime.

9. Also reviewed as a holding company regime.

10. Also reviewed as a headquarters regime.

Banking and insurance regimes

	Jurisdiction	Regime	Status	Comments
1.	Antigua and Barbuda	International banking	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
2.	Australia	Offshore banking unit	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
3.	Barbados	Exempt insurance	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
4.	Barbados	Insurance regime	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
5.	Barbados	Qualifying insurance companies	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
6.	Canada	International banking centres	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
7.	Cook Islands	Captive insurance	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
8.	Cook Islands	International banking	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
9.	Cook Islands	International insurance companies	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
10.	Dominica	Offshore banking	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
11.	Hong Kong (China)	Profits tax concession for captive insurers	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. No grandfathering provided.
12.	Hong Kong (China)	Profits tax concession for professional reinsurers	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. No grandfathering provided.
13.	Hong Kong (China)	Profits tax concession for specified insurers and licensed insurance broker companies	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
14.	Macau (China)	Macau offshore institution	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
15.	Malaysia	Labuan financial services	Not harmful	Ring-fencing removed.

			(amended)	Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. No grandfathering provided.
16.	Malaysia	Re-insurance and re-takaful business ¹	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. No grandfathering provided.
17.	Mauritius	Banks holding a banking licence under the Banking Act 2004	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
18.	Mauritius	Banks holding a banking licence under the Banking Act 2004 ('Segment B banking')	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
19.	Mauritius	Captive insurance	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
20.	Mauritius	Investment banking	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
21.	Morocco	Banks and holding companies in offshore zones	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
22.	Nigeria	Free trade zones ²	Not operational	Regime not operational.
23.	Seychelles	Fund administration business	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
24.	Seychelles	Non-domestic insurance business	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
25.	Seychelles	Offshore banking	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
26.	Seychelles	Reinsurance business	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
27.	Seychelles	Securities businesses under the securities act	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
28.	Singapore	Financial sector incentive	Not harmful	No harmful features.
29.	Singapore	Insurance business development	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
30.	Thailand	International banking facilities	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
31.	Tunisia	Offshore banking	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.

1. Formerly known as "Inward re-insurance and offshore insurance".

2. Also reviewed as a distribution and service centre regime.

Distribution centre and service centre regimes

	Jurisdiction	Regime	Status	Comments
1.	Andorra	Companies involved in international trade	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
2.	Armenia	Free economic zones	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
3.	Armenia	Information technology projects	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
4.	Aruba	Free zone	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
5.	Barbados	Fiscal incentives act	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile

				activities.
6.	Costa Rica	Free trade zone	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. No grandfathering provided.
7.	Curaçao	Export facility	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
8.	Curaçao	E-Zone	Out of scope (amended)	Benefits for income from geographically mobile activities removed. No grandfathering provided.
9.	Dominican Republic	Border development	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
10.	Dominican Republic	Free trade zones	Out of scope (amended)	Benefits for income from geographically mobile activities removed. No grandfathering provided.
11.	Dominican Republic	Logistics centres	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
12.	Eswatini	Special economic zones	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
13.	Gabon	Special economic zone	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
14.	Georgia	Free industrial zone	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
15.	Georgia	Special trade company	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
16.	Georgia	Virtual zone person	Potentially harmful but not actually harmful	Ring-fencing and substantial activities factor implicated, but no harmful economic effects in practice. Regime is subject to annual monitoring.
17.	Honduras	Free zones (ZOLI)	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
18.	Honduras	Employment and economic development zones (ZEDE)	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
19.	Jamaica	Special economic zones	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
20.	Jordan	Aqaba special economic zone	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
21.	Jordan	Development zones	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. No grandfathering provided.
22.	Jordan	Free trade zones	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
23.	Kazakhstan	Special economic zones	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
24.	Kenya	Export processing zone	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
25.	Kenya	Special economic zone ²	Not operational	Regime not operational.
26.	Korea	Foreign investment zone	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
27.	Korea	Free economic zone / free trade zone	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
28.	Lithuania	Free economic zone taxation regime	Not harmful	No harmful features.
29.	Malaysia	Approved service projects	Out of scope	No benefits for income from

				geographically mobile activities.
30.	Malaysia	Green technology services	Not harmful	No harmful features.
31.	Malaysia	Malaysian international trading company	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
32.	Malaysia	Special economic regions	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
33.	Mauritius	Freeport zone	Out of scope (amended)	Benefits for income from geographically mobile activities removed. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
34.	Mongolia	Free trade zones	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
35.	Morocco	Export societies	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
36.	Morocco	Free trade zones	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
37.	Nigeria	Free trade zones ³	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
38.	North Macedonia	Technological industrial development zone	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
39.	Pakistan	Export regime on IT	Under review	Regime under review by FHTP.
40.	Panama	Colon free zone	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
41.	Panama	Panama-Pacifico special economic zone	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
42.	Paraguay	Free zone	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
43.	Peru	Special economic zone 1 (Ceticos / ZED)	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
44.	Peru	Special economic zone 2 (Zofratacna)	Not harmful	No harmful features.
45.	Qatar	Free zone areas	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
46.	Qatar	Free zone at science & technology park	In the process of being amended	Potentially harmful features will be addressed.
47.	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Fiscal incentives act	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
48.	Seychelles	International trade zone	Out of scope (amended)	Benefits for income from geographically mobile activities removed. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines
49.	Singapore	Global trader programme	Not harmful	No harmful features.
50.	Thailand	International trade centre	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
51.	Trinidad and Tobago	Free trade zones	Harmful	Ring-fencing and exchange of information factors implicated.

52.	Tunisia	Export regime	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
53.	Uruguay	Free zones	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
54.	Uruguay	Shared service centre	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. No grandfathering provided.
55.	Viet Nam	Disadvantaged areas	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
56.	Viet Nam	Economic zones	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
57.	Viet Nam	Export processing zone	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
58.	Viet Nam	Industrial parks/zones	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.

1. The FHTP agreed in principle that new draft legislation introducing substantial activities requirements, if enacted, would meet the FHTP requirements and the regime would then be able to be concluded as “not harmful (amended)”.
2. Also reviewed as a headquarters regime.
3. Also reviewed as a banking and insurance regime.
4. Regime closed to new entrants on administrative basis and legal changes are forthcoming, which will be reviewed by the FHTP.

Shipping regimes

	Jurisdiction	Regime	Status	Comments
1.	Antigua and Barbuda	Tonnage tax ¹	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. No grandfathering provided.
2.	Aruba	Shipping and aviation	Not harmful	No harmful features.
3.	Barbados	Shipping regime	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. No grandfathering provided.
4.	Cabo Verde	International shipping	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. No grandfathering provided.
5.	Hong Kong (China)	Profits tax exemptions for ship operators	Not harmful	No harmful features.
6.	Liberia	Shipping regime	Not harmful	No harmful features.
7.	Lithuania	Tonnage tax regime	Not harmful	No harmful features.
8.	Malta	Tonnage tax system	Not harmful	No harmful features.
9.	Mauritius	Shipping regime	Not harmful	No harmful features.
10.	Panama	Shipping regime	Not harmful	No harmful features.
11.	Singapore	Maritime sector incentive	Not harmful	No harmful features.

Note: The determination of substantial activity in the context of shipping regimes recognises that significant core income generating activities within shipping are performed in transit outside of the jurisdiction of the shipping regime, and that the value creation attributable to the core income generating activities that occur from a fixed location is more limited than for other types of regimes for mobile business income. The determination further considered whether the regime was designed to ensure that the qualifying taxpayer handles all corporate law and regulatory compliance of the shipping company with any additional obligations within the jurisdiction such as ship registration including compliance with International Maritime Organisation (“IMO”) regulations, customs and manning requirements (noting the various regulatory requirements for shipping identified in the Consolidated Application Note) consistent with the IMO definition.

1. This regime will apply from 2021. The shipping regime under the Antigua and Barbuda Merchant Shipping Act 2006 has been abolished.

Holding company regimes

	Jurisdiction	Regime	Status	Comments
--	--------------	--------	--------	----------

1.	Andorra	Holding company regime	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
2.	Barbados	International societies with restricted liability	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
3.	Barbados	International trusts ¹	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
4.	Saint Lucia	International trusts ²	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
5.	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	International trusts ³	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.

1. Also reviewed as a financing and leasing regime.

2. Also reviewed as a financing and leasing regime.

3. Also reviewed as a financing and leasing regime.

Fund management regimes

	Jurisdiction	Regime	Status	Comments
1.	Malaysia	Foreign fund management	Not harmful	No harmful features.

Miscellaneous regimes

	Jurisdiction	Regime	Status	Comments
1.	Aruba	Imputation payment company	Potentially harmful but not actually harmful From 1 January 2026: Abolished	Lack of substantial activities requirements, but no harmful economic effects in practice. Regime is subject to annual monitoring.
2.	Aruba	Investment promotion	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
3.	Aruba	San Nicolas	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
4.	Barbados	Credit for foreign currency earnings / Credit for overseas project or services	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
5.	Brunei Darussalam	Investment incentives regime ¹	Potentially harmful but not actually harmful	Lack of substantial activities requirements and transparency factor implicated, but no harmful economic effects in practice. Regime is subject to annual monitoring.
6.	Cabo Verde	Incentive for internationalization	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
7.	Cabo Verde	International business centre	Out of scope (amended)	Benefits for income from geographically mobile activities removed. No grandfathering provided.
8.	Cook Islands	Development investment incentives	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
9.	Cook Islands	Incentive for certain public works	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
10.	Cook Islands	International companies	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
11.	Curaçao	Qualifying activities regime ²	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
12.	Georgia	International company	Not harmful	New regime, designed in

13.	Lithuania	Large scale investment projects	Under review	compliance with FHTP standards. Regime under review by FHTP.
14.	Malaysia	Biotechnology industry	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
15.	Malaysia	International currency business unit	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
16.	Malaysia	MSC Malaysia	Not harmful (amended)	Ring-fencing removed. Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
17.	Malaysia	Pioneer status – Contract R&D	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
18.	Maldives	Reduced tax rates on profits sourced outside Maldives	Abolished	No grandfathering provided.
19.	Mauritius	Partial exemption system	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
20.	Paraguay	Investment guarantee	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
21.	Paraguay	Investment of capital from abroad	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
22.	San Marino	High innovative enterprise regime	Not harmful	New regime, designed in compliance with FHTP standards.
23.	San Marino	New companies regime provided by art. 73, law no. 166/2013	Not harmful	No harmful features.
24.	San Marino	Regime for high-tech start-up companies under law no. 71/2013 and delegated decree no. 116/2014	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
25.	Singapore	DEI-Legal services	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
26.	Singapore	International growth scheme	Abolished	Grandfathering in accordance with FHTP timelines.
27.	United States	Foreign-derived intangible income (FDII)	In the process of being eliminated	The United States has committed to abolish this regime.
28.	Uruguay	Benefits under law 16.906 for biotechnology	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. No grandfathering provided.
29.	Uruguay	Benefits under lit S art. 52 for biotechnology and for software	Not harmful (amended)	Substance requirements (non-IP) in place. No grandfathering provided.
30.	Uruguay	Financial company reorganisation	Abolished	Regime abolished before FHTP assessment. No grandfathering provided.
31.	Uruguay	Investment law incentives under law 16.096	Out of scope	No benefits for income from geographically mobile activities.
32.	Uruguay	Tax system according to the source principle	Out of scope	No divergence from the jurisdiction's general tax system.
33.	Viet Nam	Software production	Potentially harmful but not actually harmful	Lack of substantial activities requirements, but no harmful economic effects in practice. Regime is subject to annual monitoring.

1. Formerly known as "Pioneer services companies".

2. In the period January – July 2020, a decree on substantial activities was in effect, but was withdrawn by Curaçao as it did not seem to meet the FHTP's requirements.

Results on the review of the substantial activities factor for no or only nominal tax jurisdictions

4. The below table presents the results on the review of the substantial activities factor for no or only nominal tax jurisdictions, as at 16 November 2020. These results include the FHTP's review of legislation, regulations and guidance issued since the June 2019 FHTP meeting.

	Jurisdiction	Status	Comments
1.	Anguilla	Not harmful	Economic substance requirements were introduced taking effect from 1 January 2019. Domestic legal framework meets all aspects of the standard.
2.	Bahamas	Not harmful	Economic substance requirements were introduced taking effect from 1 January 2019. Domestic legal framework meets all aspects of the standard.
3.	Bahrain	Not harmful	Economic substance requirements were introduced taking effect from 1 January 2019. Domestic legal framework meets all aspects of the standard.
4.	Barbados	Not harmful	Economic substance requirements were introduced taking effect from 1 January 2019. Domestic legal framework meets all aspects of the standard.
5.	Bermuda	Not harmful	Economic substance requirements were introduced taking effect from 1 January 2019. Domestic legal framework meets all aspects of the standard.
6.	British Virgin Islands	Not harmful	Economic substance requirements were introduced taking effect from 1 January 2019. Domestic legal framework meets all aspects of the standard.
7.	Cayman Islands	Not harmful	Economic substance requirements were introduced taking effect from 1 January 2019. Domestic legal framework meets all aspects of the standard.
8.	Guernsey	Not harmful	Economic substance requirements were introduced taking effect from 1 January 2019. Domestic legal framework meets all aspects of the standard.
9.	Isle of Man	Not harmful	Economic substance requirements were introduced taking effect from 1 January 2019. Domestic legal framework meets all aspects of the standard.
10.	Jersey	Not harmful	Economic substance requirements were introduced taking effect from 1 January 2019. Domestic legal framework meets all aspects of the standard.
11.	Turks and Caicos Islands	Not harmful	Economic substance requirements were introduced taking effect from 1 January 2019. Domestic legal framework meets all aspects of the standard.
12.	United Arab Emirates	Not harmful (amended)	Economic substance requirements were introduced taking effect from 30 April 2019, and subsequently amended on 10 August 2020. The domestic legal framework, as amended, meets all aspects of the standard.